

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

IN YO998-205 5521 EXAMINER	
EXAMINER	
HUYNH, SON P	
ART UNIT PAPER NUM	BER
2611	
	ART UNIT PAPER NUM

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/368,433	FLAVIN, ROBERT ALAN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Son P Huynh	2611	
- · · · ·	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio		rith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any earn Status	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A mailing date of this communication, even	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)⊠ 3)⊟	This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un			
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applicated of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 1999</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country that of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ on the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Butter the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment	: :(s)			
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/10/2004 regarding claims 1-23 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Double Patenting Rejection:

Applicant argues the patent claims teach that the announcements must be created by a party other than the broadcaster of the one or more content streams. In contrast, the claims of the present application do not require that the announcements be created by a third party. Thus, the patent claims do not teach that the announcements have to be created by a third party (page 16, paragraph 3).

In response, Firstly, it is noted that there is a confliction in applicant's argument above when applicant argues, "the patent claims teach that the announcements must be created by a party other than the broadcaster of the one or more content streams."

Then concludes, "Thus, the patent claims do not teach that the announcements have to be created by a third party." (The third party reads on a party other than the broadcaster of the one or more content streams). Secondly, claim 1 of the present application recites "wherein each of said one or more announcements was created by a party other than a broadcaster of said one or more content streams;" (lines 9-10). Thirdly, patent claim 1 recites "...said one ore more announcements being selectively added to said signal by

any of a broadcaster of said signal and a party other than said broadcaster;" (lines 8-11). Thus, the claim 1 of the present application is broader in scope than patent claim 1.

Applicant further argues the patent claims do not teach or suggest that the announcements be provided on a first communication channel that is separate from a second communication channel that provides a content stream (page 16, paragraph 4. In response, this limitation is not recited in claims 1, 5-6, 11-13.

Regarding Prior Art Rejections:

Applicant argues that the Examiners agreed during the March 23, 2004, personal interview, neither of the Hendricks et al reference and the Kwok et al. reference teaches or suggest the features of the present invention including one or more announcements are not received via the broadcaster (page 17, paragraph 2, lines 1-4).

In response, as indicated in the Interview Summary Record, the examiners explicitly stated, "proposed amendment appears to overcome Hendricks." Nowhere in the Interview Summary, the examiners indicate to applicant "neither of the Hendricks et al reference and the Kwok et al. reference teaches or suggest the features of the present invention including one or more announcements are not received via the broadcaster," as stated by applicant. However, during the interview, the examiners did indicate to applicant that the proposed amendment needs further review and consideration over the prior art of record in order to determine if the Prior Art of Record does or does not teach the feature as claimed in the proposed amendment.

Applicant further argues the references do not teach or suggest "the announcements are not received via the broadcaster." (page 17, paragraph 3, lines 8-9). In response, Hendricks discloses the television programs are received from external program sources (212) at the operations center. The program control signals are provided by computer assisted packaging equipment (CAP) at the operations center or at the head end (figures 1-2; col. 6, line 4-col. 7, line 14). Thus, Hendricks teaches the announcements (program control signals) are not received via the broadcaster (external sources). Instead, the announcements are received via CAP or a computer at the head end.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. In this instant, Hendricks discloses a segment announcement receiver comprising: a receiver section that receives one or more announcements (program control signal), wherein each of the one or more announcements corresponds to a content being provided on one or more content streams (video program), wherein each of the one or more announcements includes: a description about the corresponding content in

content stream, a time at which the content is transmitted, content identifier (title, channel, time); wherein each of the announcement was created by a party other than a broadcaster of the content stream (program control signal was created by CAP at operations center or network controller at the head end) (figures 1-3; 11a; col. 6, line 4col. 12, line 63. However, Hendricks does not specifically disclose a controller that compares the one or more announcements to a filter and that alters a presentation when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record.

Page 5

Kwoh discloses a controller (command controller 36) that compares the one or more announcements (data packets, rating level, etc.) to a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) and that alters a presentation (block unacceptable data) when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record (figures 1-6; col. 1, line 65-col. 2, line 33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to children.

Applicant additionally argues regarding the means plus function recitations, the examiner has failed to interpret the claims to read only on the structures or materials disclosed by the present specification and "equivalents thereof." (page 21, paragraph 3).

In response, claim 13 is interpreted according to its the means plus function recitations as follow:

means for providing an announcement... (computer station - page 4, lines 18-19);

means for receiving said content stream.. (any signal processing device such as

television, video recorder, radio, etc. – page 6, line 17-page 7, line 1);

means for matching...(person 111, page 5, lines 4-15);

means for presenting...(TV or computer screen -page 12, line 3). Therefore, the

claimed segment announcement receiver is met by Hendricks as follow:

means for providing an announcement (computer station) is met by computer station at

operations center such as computer assisted packaging equipment (col. 6, line 31-col.

7, line 47) or computer station as head end (figure 3);

means for receiving said content stream (any signal processing device) is met by the

set top terminal or VCR or television - col. 9, lines 35-48);

means for matching...(person) is met by the programmer at the operations center (col.

6, lines 35-52) or the operator at the head end that modifies the program control

information signal (col. 8, lines 53-67);

means for presenting...(TV or computer screen) is met by television 222 (figure 3) (or

monitor 442 (figures 12, 18) in Kwok reference).

For reason given above, rejections on claims 1-23 and new added claims 24-30 are discussed below.

Double Patenting

2. Claims 1, 5-6, 11-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,005,603 (hereinafter referred to as '603), and in view of Kwoh (US 6,115,057).

Regarding claim 1, claim 1 of '603 recites a segment announcement receiver comprising: a receiver section for receiving a signal; one or more announcements carried on the signal, the announcement containing:

a description about one or more of the content streams;

a time at which the content stream is received on the carrier signal, and

a content stream identifier, the one or more announcements being selectively added to the signal by a party other than a broadcaster of the stream; and

a controller that performs a function determined by the description and the time.

Inherently, the announcement is not received via the broadcaster (added to signal by a party other than the broadcaster of the signal). It is obvious that the one or more announcements correspond to a content being provided on the one or more content stream in order to provide information of the content stream. However, claim 1 of '603 does not recites a controller that compares the one or more announcements to a filter record and that alters a presentation when the comparison of the one or more

announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record.

Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such as parent can enter rating level, programs identifier, channels, time, length, etc. of program to be blocked. The entered information is stored in RAM 84 in command controller 36 of parental control device 40. Program video signals and announcements (rating data, program identifier, data packets, etc.) are received via signal source input 39. The announcement is compared to the information stored in RAM 84; if the comparison is matched, the unacceptable data is blocked (figures 1-6 and col. 1, line 65). Thus, Kwoh teaches a controller (command controller 36) that compares the one or more announcements (data packets, rating level, etc.) to a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) and that alters a presentation (block unacceptable data) when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Flavin to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to children.

Regarding claim 5, claim 3 of '603 recites a segment announcement receiver comprising:

a first receiver section for receiving one or more content streams on a content carrier signal;

a second receiver section for receiving one or more announcements, each of the announcements containing a description about one or more content streams, a time at which the content stream is received by the first receiver section, and a content stream identifier, and

a controller that performs a function in a signal processing device determined by the description and the time, wherein one or more announcements being selectively added to the signal by a party other than a broadcaster of the stream. Inherently, the announcement is not received via the broadcaster (added to signal by a party other than the broadcaster of the signal). It is obvious that the one or more announcements correspond to a content being provided on the one or more content stream in order to provide information of the content stream. However, claim 3 of '603 does not recites a controller that compares the one or more announcements to a filter record and that alters a presentation when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such as parent can enter rating level, programs identifier, channels, time, length, etc. of program to be blocked. The entered information is stored in RAM 84 in command controller 36 of parental control device 40. Program video signals and announcements (rating data, program identifier, data packets, etc.) are received via signal source input

39. The announcement is compared to the information stored in RAM 84; if the comparison is matched, the unacceptable data is blocked (figures 1-6 and col. 1, line 65). Thus, Kwoh teaches a controller (command controller 36) that compares the one or more announcements (data packets, rating level, etc.) to a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) and that alters a presentation (block unacceptable data) when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Flavin to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to children.

Regarding claim 6, claim 4 of '603 recites a segment announcement system comprising: an analyzer that analyzes a content of one or more content streams; an announcement generator that creates one or more announcements containing a description about one or more of the content streams; and a transmitter section that sends the announcement to one or more receivers, the one or more announcements being selectively added to the signal by a party other than a broadcaster of the content streams. Inherently, the announcement is not received via the broadcaster (added to signal by a party other than the broadcaster of the signal). It is obvious that the receivers comprises a controller that alters a presentation of the one or more content streams in accordance with the description and the time from a

corresponding announcement in order to change the presentation in accordance with the description and time created by the party. However, claim 4 of '603 does not recites a controller that compares the one or more announcements to a filter record and that alters a presentation when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such as parent can enter rating level, programs identifier, channels, time, length, etc. of program to be blocked. The entered information is stored in RAM 84 in command controller 36 of parental control device 40. Program video signals and announcements (rating data, program identifier, data packets, etc.) are received via signal source input 39. The announcement is compared to the information stored in RAM 84; if the comparison is matched, the unacceptable data is blocked (figures 1-6 and col. 1, line 65). Thus, Kwoh teaches a controller (command controller 36) that compares the one or more announcements (data packets, rating level, etc.) to a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) and that alters a presentation (block unacceptable data) when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Flavin to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to children.

Application/Control Number: 09/368,433

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 11, claim 5 of '603 recites a closed circuit transmission system comprising:

one or more segment announcer system comprising:

an analyzer that analyzes a content of one or more content streams;

an announcement generator that creates one or more announcements containing description about one or more of the content streams and a time associated with the content stream;

a transmitter section that sends the announcement over a communication network; and one or more segment announcement receivers comprising:

a receiver section for receiving the announcement and the content stream;

a controller that performs a function determined by the description and the time.

Inherently, the announcement is not received via the broadcaster (added in the signal by a party other than the broadcaster of the signal). However, claim 5 of '603 does not recites a controller that compares the one or more announcements to a filter record and that alters a presentation when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record.

Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such as parent can enter rating level, programs identifier, channels, time, length, etc. of program to be blocked. The entered information is stored in RAM 84 in command controller 36 of parental control device 40. Program video signals and announcements

(rating data, program identifier, data packets, etc.) are received via signal source input 39. The announcement is compared to the information stored in RAM 84; if the comparison is matched, the unacceptable data is blocked (figures 1-6 and col. 1, line 65). Thus, Kwoh teaches a controller (command controller 36) that compares the one or more announcements (data packets, rating level, etc.) to a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) and that alters a presentation (block unacceptable data) when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Flavin to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to children.

Regarding claim 12, claim 6 of '603 recites a process comprising:

receiving one or more content streams,

receiving one or more announcements having one or more description about the content of one or more of the content stream, the one or more announcements being selectively added to a content stream by a party other than a broadcaster of the content stream;

matching one or more of the descriptions to one or more of the content streams; and performing a function during the processing of one of the content streams if the content stream being processed matches one or more of the descriptions. Inherently, the

announcement is not received via the broadcaster (added to signal by a party other than the broadcaster of the signal). However, claim 6 of '603 does not recites a controller that compares the one or more announcements to a filter record and that alters a presentation when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record.

Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such

Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such as parent can enter rating level, programs identifier, channels, time, length, etc. of program to be blocked. The entered information is stored in RAM 84 in command controller 36 of parental control device 40. Program video signals and announcements (rating data, program identifier, data packets, etc.) are received via signal source input 39. The announcement is compared to the information stored in RAM 84; if the comparison is matched, the unacceptable data is blocked (figures 1-6 and col. 1, line 65). Thus, Kwoh teaches a controller (command controller 36) that compares the one or more announcements (data packets, rating level, etc.) to a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) and that alters a presentation (block unacceptable data) when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Flavin to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to children.

Regarding claim 13, claim 7 of '603 recites a segment announcement receiver comprising:

means for receiving one or more announcement having one or more descriptions about the content of one or more of the content streams, the one or more announcements being selectively added to a content stream by a party other than a broadcaster of the content stream;

means for receiving one or more content streams;

means for matching the description of the content; and

means for performing a function during the processing of one of the content streams if the content stream being processed matches one or more of the description. Inherently, the announcement is not received via the broadcaster (added to signal by a party other than the broadcaster of the signal). However, claim 7 of '603 does not recites a controller that compares the one or more announcements to a filter record and that alters a presentation when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record.

Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such as parent can enter rating level, programs identifier, channels, time, length, etc. of program to be blocked. The entered information is stored in RAM 84 in command controller 36 of parental control device 40. Program video signals and announcements

Application/Control Number: 09/368,433 Page 16

Art Unit: 2611

(rating data, program identifier, data packets, etc.) are received via signal source input 39. The announcement is compared to the information stored in RAM 84; if the comparison is matched, the unacceptable data is blocked (figures 1-6 and col. 1, line 65). Thus, Kwoh teaches a controller (command controller 36) that compares the one or more announcements (data packets, rating level, etc.) to a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) and that alters a presentation (block unacceptable data) when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Flavin to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to children.

3. Allowance of claims 1, 5-6, 11-13 would result in an un-warranted timewise extension of the monopoly granted for the invention as defined in claims 1, 3-7 of patent number 6,005,603. Therefore, the double patenting rejection is justified.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/368,433

Art Unit: 2611

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-7, 9-23, 25-26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks (US 5,798,785) in view of Kwoh et al. (US 6,115,057).

Regarding claim 1, Hendricks discloses a delivery system comprising operation center 202 receives television programs from external program sources 212 (sporting events, children's programs, special channels, news, etc.), the received television programs then packaged into the groups and categories. After the CAP packets the programs, it creates a program control information signal to be delivered with the program package. The program control information contains a description of the contents of the program package, commands to be sent to the cable head end and/or set top terminal and other information relevant to the signal transmission (see col. 6, line 4-col. 7, line 14). The program control information is received, stored, and modified by network controller 214 at the headend 208 prior to be sent to set top terminal (figures 1-3, col. 6, lines 4; col. 12, line 40+). Hendricks further discloses the program control signal includes: number of program categories, names of program categories, what channels are assigned to a specific category (such as special channels), names of channels, name of programs on each channel, program start times, length of programs, description of programs, menu assignment for each program, pricing, whether there is a sample video clip for advertisement for the program, and any other program, menu or product information (see col. 12, lines 54-63) rating 1166 (figure 11a); In addition, Hendricks teaches the terminal creates a personal profile for the particular viewer. Using the data in the

particular viewer's personal profile, subscriber mood information and the television program information available in the program control information signal, the microprocessor 602 in the set top terminal 220 is able to select a group of programs, which the particular viewer is most likely watch (see col. 29, line 1- col. 38, line 33). Thus, Hendricks teaches a segment announcement receiver (set top terminal 220 and display) comprising:

a receiver section (tuner 603 and modem 627) that receives one or more announcements (program control signal or set top terminal control information stream (STTCIS); wherein each of the one or more announcement corresponds to a content (television program) being provided on the one or more content streams (content of program packets), wherein each of the one or more announcement includes: a description about the corresponding content in the one or more of the content streams (category, rating, etc.);

a time at which the corresponding content is transmitted on the signal; and content identifier,

wherein each of the one or more announcement was created by a party (CAP at operation center or network controller 214 at headend 208) other than the broadcaster (external sources); and wherein the announcement is not received via the broadcaster (the program control information is created by CAP at operations center, and modified by network control 214 at the headend, it is not received via external networks). Hendricks also discloses parental lock (col. 14, line 14); and the user can select to control program display based on program rating (col. 32, lines 15-19). However,

Hendricks does not specifically disclose a controller that compares the one or more announcements to a filter record and that alters a presentation when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record.

Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such as parent can enter rating level, programs identifier, channels, time, length, etc. of program to be blocked. The entered information is stored in RAM 84 in command controller 36 of parental control device 40. Program video signals and announcements (rating data, program identifier, data packets, etc.) are received via signal source input 39. The announcement is compared to the information stored in RAM 84; if the comparison is matched, the unacceptable data is blocked (figures 1-6 and col. 1, line 65). Thus, Kwoh teaches a controller (command controller 36) that compares the one or more announcements (data packets, rating level, etc.) to a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) and that alters a presentation (block unacceptable data) when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to children.

Regarding claim 2, Hendricks teaches the description includes a category (see col. 12,

lines 54-63).

Regarding claim 3, Hendricks teaches the signal processing device is any one of ore of the following: a television, a radio, a closed circuit television, a video recorder, and a computer (figures 3, 4, 6).

Regarding claim 4, Hendricks teaches the presentation is by a television 222 (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 5, Hendricks discloses a delivery system comprising operation center 202 receives television programs from external program sources 212, the received television programs then packaged into the groups and categories. After the CAP packets the programs, it creates a program control information signal to be delivered with the program package to the cable head end and/or set top terminal 220. The program control information is received, stored, and modified by network controller 214 at the headend 208 prior to be sent to set top terminal (figures 1-3, col. 6, lines 4; col. 12, line 40+). The set top box received television by tuner 603 (see figure 4); and program control information signal can be sent directly from the Operation center 202, processed by the network controller 214 and then forwarded to the set top box, or transmitted over telephone lines (see col. 19, lines 30-35) The program control information contains a description of the contents of the program package, commands

to be sent to the cable head end and/or set top terminal and other information relevant to the signal transmission (see col. 6, line 4-col. 7, line 14). Hendricks further discloses the program control signal includes: number of program categories, names of program categories, what channels are assigned to a specific category (such as special channels), names of channels, name of programs on each channel, program start times, length of programs, description of programs, menu assignment for each program, pricing, whether there is a sample video clip for advertisement for the program, and any other program, menu or product information (see col. 12, lines 54-63) rating 1166 (figure 11a). The program control information can be transmitted to set top terminal over telephone line connected modem 627 (see col. 19, line 29+). In addition, Hendricks teaches the terminal creates a personal profile for the particular viewer. Using the data in the particular viewer's personal profile, subscriber mood information and the television program information available in the program control information signal, the microprocessor 602 in the set top terminal 220 is able to select a group of programs. which the particular viewer is most likely watch (see col. 29, line 1- col. 38, line 33). Thus, Thus, Hendricks teaches a segment announcement receiver (set top terminal 220 and display 222) comprising:

a first receiver section (tuner 603) that receives one or more content streams on a content carrier signal (content of program packet);

a second receiver section (modem 627) that receives one or more announcements (program control signal) created by a party (CAP at the operations center or network

controller at the head end) other than the broadcaster (external sources) and that is contain:

a description about the corresponding content within the one or more content streams (category, rating, etc.);

a time at which the corresponding content is transmitted by the first receiver section; and content identifier; the announcement is not received via the broadcaster (program control information is created by CAP at operations center; and modified by network controller at the headend. It is not received via external sources). Hendricks also discloses parental lock (col. 14, line 14); and the user can select to control program display based on program rating (col. 32, lines 15-19). However, Hendricks does not specifically disclose a controller that compares the one or more announcements to a filter record and that alters a presentation when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record.

Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such as parent can enter rating level, programs identifier, channels, time, length, etc. of program to be blocked. The entered information is stored in RAM 84 in command controller 36 of parental control device 40. Program video signals and announcements (rating data, program identifier, data packets, etc.) are received via signal source input 39. The announcement is compared to the information stored in RAM 84; if the comparison is matched, the unacceptable data is blocked (figures 1-6 and col. 1, line

65). Thus, Kwoh teaches a controller (command controller 36) that compares the one or more announcements (data packets, rating level, etc.) to a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) and that alters a presentation (block unacceptable data) when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to children.

Regarding claim 6, Hendricks discloses a delivery system comprising operation center 202 receives television programs from external program sources 212, the received television programs then packaged into the groups and categories by a programmer and computer assisted packaging (CAP). After the CAP packets the programs, it creates a program control information signal to be delivered with the program package by program delivery 204 to the cable modem and/or set top terminal 220. The program control information is modified at the headend; The program control information contains a description of the contents of the program package, commands to be sent to the cable head end and/or set top terminal and other information relevant to the signal transmission (see col. 6, line 4-col. 7, line 14). Hendricks further discloses the program control signal includes: number of program categories, names of program categories, what channels are assigned to a specific category (such as special channels), names of

Page 24

Art Unit: 2611

channels, name of programs on each channel, program start times, length of programs, description of programs, menu assignment for each program, pricing, whether there is a sample video clip for advertisement for the program, and any other program, menu or product information (see col. 12, lines 54-63) rating 1166 (figure 11a); In addition, Hendricks teaches the terminal creates a personal profile for the particular viewer. Using the data in the particular viewer's personal profile, subscriber mood information and the television program information available in the program control information signal, the microprocessor 602 in the set top terminal 220 is able to select a group of programs, which the particular viewer is most likely watch (see col. 29, line 1- col. 38, line 33). Thus, Hendricks teaches a segment announcement system (television delivery system 200) comprising:

an analyzer (CAP or signal processor) that analyzes a content of one or more content streams;

announcement generator (CAP or network controller) that creates an announcement (program control signal) containing description about the content of one or more of the content streams (program packet);

a transmitter section (delivery 204 or transmitter in headend 208) that sends the announcement to one or more receivers (set top terminal 220 and display 222) using a signal, the announcement being added to the signal by a party (CAP or network controller) other than the broadcaster (external sources) of the content; wherein the announcement is not received via the broadcaster (program control information is not received via external sources). Hendricks also discloses parental lock (col. 14, line 14);

children.

and the user can select to control program display based on program rating (col. 32. lines 15-19). However, Hendricks does not specifically disclose a controller that compares the one or more announcements to a filter record and that alters a presentation when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such as parent can enter rating level, programs identifier, channels, time, length, etc. of program to be blocked. The entered information is stored in RAM 84 in command controller 36 of parental control device 40. Program video signals and announcements (rating data, program identifier, data packets, etc.) are received via signal source input 39. The announcement is compared to the information stored in RAM 84; if the comparison is matched, the unacceptable data is blocked (figures 1-6 and col. 1, line 65). Thus, Kwoh teaches a controller (command controller 36) that compares the one or more announcements (data packets, rating level, etc.) to a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) and that alters a presentation (block unacceptable data) when the comparison of the one or more announcement to the filter record indicates a correspondence between the one or more announcements and the at least one user preference for altering the presentation in the filter record. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to

Regarding claim 7, Hendricks et al. teaches a system as discussed in the rejection of claim 6 wherein the analyzer comprises a programmer (see col. 6, lines 35-52).

Regarding claim 9, Hendricks et al. discloses the announcement comprises a time associated with the content stream (see col. 20, lines 57-67).

Regarding claim 10, Hendricks et al. discloses the announcement further comprises a content stream identifier (see col. 20, line 57+).

Regarding claim 11, Hendricks in view of Kwoh teaches a system as discussed in the rejection of claim 6. Hendricks further discloses set top terminal 220 comprises tuner 603 and modem 627 for receiving the content stream and the announcement (see figure 4).

Regarding claim 12, Hendricks teaches a process comprising:

providing an announcement (program control signal or STTCIS) by a party (CAP or network controller) other than a broadcaster (external sources) of the content stream (content in packet streams);

receiving the content stream, the announcement having a description about a content of the content stream (see figures 1-2);

matching the description to the content stream (program control information is created after the television programs is packaged and program control information and television are sent to headend and/or set top terminal 220. The set top terminal uses the program control information to generate a menu, User select an icon on the menu to display television program correspond to the selected icon- see figures 1, 12a or the programmer enter program control signal that matches the programs received from external network); the announcement is not provided via the broadcaster (the program control information is not provided via external sources –figures 1-2). Hendricks also discloses parental lock (col. 14, line 14); and the user can select to control program display based on program rating (col. 32, lines 15-19). However, Hendricks does not specifically disclose presenting the content in accordance with at least one user preference in a filter record when a comparison with the filter record indicates a correspondence between the filter record and the description in the announcement. Kwoh discloses a system comprises parental control device 40. Authorized user such as parent can enter rating level, programs identifier, channels, time, length, etc. of program to be blocked. The entered information is stored in RAM 84 in command controller 36 of parental control device 40. Program video signals and announcements (rating data, program identifier, data packets, etc.) are received via signal source input The announcement is compared to the information stored in RAM 84; if the comparison is matched, the unacceptable data is blocked (figures 1-6 and col. 1, line 65). Thus, Kwoh teaches presenting the content in accordance with at least one user preference in a filter record (data stored in RAM 84) when a comparison with the filter

record indicates a correspondence between the filter record and the description in the announcement. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks to use the teaching as taught by Kwoh in order to allow parent to control data displayed to children.

Regarding claim 13, the limitation of the segment announcement receiver correspond to the limitations of the process as claimed in claim 12 and are analyzed as discussed in the rejection of claim 12.

Regarding claim 14, Hendricks in view of Kwoh teaches the receiver as discussed in the rejection of claim 1. Kwoh further teaches presenting section (monitor 442) for presenting the content stream, wherein the controller (command controller in parental control circuit 40) controls presenting section to alter the presentation (present acceptable data to monitor- figures 12, 18).

Regarding claim 15, Hendricks in view of Kwoh teaches the receiver as discussed in the rejection of claim 5. Kwoh further teaches presenting section (monitor 442) for presenting the content stream, wherein the controller (command controller in parental control circuit 40) controls presenting section to alter the presentation (present acceptable data to monitor- figures 12, 18).

Regarding claim 16, Hendricks in view of Kwoh teaches the receiver as discussed in the rejection of claim 6. Kwoh further teaches presenting section (monitor 442) for presenting the content stream, wherein the controller (command controller in parental control circuit 40) controls presenting section to alter the presentation (present acceptable data to monitor- figures 12, 18).

Regarding claim 17, Hendricks in view of Kwoh teaches the receiver as discussed in the rejection of claim 11. Kwoh further teaches presenting section (monitor 442) for presenting the content stream, wherein the controller (command controller in parental control circuit 40) controls presenting section to alter the presentation (present acceptable data to monitor- figures 12, 18).

Regarding claim 18, Hendricks teaches the receiver section receives announcement via a first communication connection (cable modem 627 – figure 4) and wherein the content stream is provided on a second communication connection (tuner 603 – figure 4) that is separate from the first communication connection.

Regarding claims 19-23, the limitations as claimed correspond to the limitations of claim 18 and are analyzed as discussed in the rejection of claim 18.

Regarding claim 25, Hendricks further discloses the receiver section received the one or more announcements via a telephone network (col. 19, lines 33-35 and figure 4) and

wherein the one or more content streams are provided on a communication connection that is separate from the telephone network (tuner 603 – figure 4).

Regarding claim 26, Hendricks further discloses the one or more content streams are provided on cable television network (via tuner 603) and wherein the receiver section receives the one or more announcements via connection (modem 627) that is completely independent of the one of a cable television network (figure 4).

Regarding claim 29, Hendricks further teaches the announcement is received via a data network (modem 627) and wherein the one or more content streams are provided on a communication connection (via tuner 603) that is separate from the data network (figure 4).

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hendricks et al. (US 5,798,785) and Kwoh et al. (US 6,115,057) as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Menard et al. (US 6,061,056).

Regarding claim 8, Hendricks in view of Kwoh teaches a system as discussed in the rejection of claim 7. However, neither Hendricks nor Kwoh explicitly disclose electronic signal processor includes video image process that queries by image content.

Menard et al. discloses a system for automatically monitoring broadcast, such as television broadcasts, and detecting content of particular interest to individual viewer comprising video capture 9, closed caption capture 10 and audio capture 11 wherein the video or audio or closed caption of the television were captured and compared to the stored data. If the captured data matches the stored data, the receiver receives an alert that indicate the on the screen. If a display has been requested, unit 417 cause unit 418 to start displaying the video, audio and closed caption (see figures 1 and 5). Necessarily, Menard et al. teaches the electronic signal processor includes video image processor that queries by image content. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks and Kwoh to use the teaching as taught by Menard et al. in order to reduce labor cost at the operation center and provide an desired data to user.

7. Claims 24, 27-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hendricks et al. (US 5,798,785) and Kwoh et al. (US 6,115,057) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Birdwell et al. (US 6,108,706).

Regarding claim 24, Hendricks in view of Kwok teaches a receiver as discussed in the rejection of claim 1. Hendricks further discloses receiving program control information and content streams in different networks (figure 4). However, neither Hendricks nor Kwok specifically disclose receiving announcement via the Internet.

Birdwell teaches receiving announcements via second link such as Internet (col. 2, lines 6-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks and Kwok to use the teaching as taught by Birdwell in order to maximize the number of users that receive the announcement.

Regarding claim 27, Hendricks in view of Kwok teaches a receiver as discussed in the rejection of claim 1. Hendricks further discloses receiving program control information and content streams in different networks (figure 4). However, neither Hendricks nor Kwok specifically disclose receiving announcement via a radio broadcast.

Birdwell teaches receiving announcements via second link such as radio network (col. 2, lines 6-15; col. 4, lines 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks and Kwok to use the teaching as taught by Birdwell in order to provide the announcement to radio listener.

Regarding claim 28, Hendricks in view of Kwok teaches a receiver as discussed in the rejection of claim 1. Hendricks further discloses receiving program control information and content streams in different networks (figure 4). However, neither Hendricks nor Kwok specifically disclose receiving announcement via a satellite broadcast.

Application/Control Number: 09/368,433 Page 33

Art Unit: 2611

Birdwell discloses unidirectional network includes satellite network (col. 3, lines 26-35) and receiving announcements via unidirectional network (e.g. paging, radio network, and cellular network) that is independent of the primary broadcast network (col. 4, lines 5-10; lines 36-39). Inherently, the announcement is received via a satellite broadcast. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks and Kwok to use the teaching as taught by Birdwell in order to provide quickly provide announcement to users.

Regarding claim 30, Hendricks in view of Kwok teaches a receiver as discussed in the rejection of claim 1. Hendricks further discloses receiving program control information and content streams in different networks (figure 4). However, neither Hendricks nor Kwok specifically disclose receiving announcement via physical transport of a storage media.

Birdwell teaches receiving announcements via physical transport of a storage media (storage at publicly accessible site on the network -col. 2, lines 12-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks and Kwok to use the teaching as taught by Birdwell in order to provide flexibility in time to access the announcement.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/368,433

Art Unit: 2611

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Logan et al. (US 6,088,455) discloses methods and apparatus for selectively

reproducing segments of broadcast programming.

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Son P Huynh whose telephone number is 703-305-

1889. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30.

Page 34

Application/Control Number: 09/368,433

Art Unit: 2611

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher C Grant can be reached on 703-305-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Son P. Huynh January 7, 2005

Page 35